

### Planning Team Report

1

Planning Proposal to list 'Lansdowne' at 3 Anderson St, Neutral Bay as an item of local heritage						
Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to list heritage	t 'Lansdo	wne' at 3 Anderson St, Neutr	al Bay as an item of local		
Proposal Summary ;				invironmental Plan 2013 as it ng known as 'Lansdowne' as an		
PP Number :	PP_2015_NORTH_008_0	0	Dop File No :	15/13577		
Proposal Details						
Date Planning Proposal Received :	08-Sep-2015		LGA covered :	North Sydney		
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	North Sydney Council		
State Electorate :	NORTH SHORE		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
Location Details						
Street : 3 Ar	nderson Street					
Suburb : Neu	tral Bay C	City :	North Sydney	Postcode : 2089		
Land Parcel : Lot	A DP 396852					
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details					
Contact Name :	James Sellwood					
Contact Number :	0292286583					
Contact Email :	james.sellwood@planning	g.nsw.go	v.au			
<b>RPA Contact Detail</b>	s					
Contact Name :	Ben Boyd					
Contact Number :	0299368100					
Contact Email :	ben.boyd@northsydney.r	ısw.gov.a	u			
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Tim Archer					
Contact Number :	0292286592					
Contact Email :	tim.archer@planning.nsw	.gov.au				
Land Release Data						
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :	N/A		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subre	gion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

heritage			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and E communication and meetings with (CBD) team has not met any lobby advised of any meetings between proposal.	n lobbyists has been complie vists in relation to this propo	ed with. The Metropolitan sal, nor has the Director been
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	This planning proposal is to form: Anderson Street, Neutral Bay, whi (IHO).		
	The IHO was placed on the proper demolish 3 Anderson Street and 3 building (containing 13 apartment	Raymond Road, and constru	
	RECENT PLANNING HISTORY OF	THE SITE	
	• On 2 October 2013, a developme Sydney Council for 3 Raymond Ra Raymond Road and the erection of apartments above basement park	oad, Neutral Bay, for the dem of a 3-storey residential flat b	olition of the dwelling on
	<ul> <li>Although the application did not concept scheme had been develo developed consistent with the con response to this the application w consideration in a future amendm</li> </ul>	ped to demonstrate that 3 Ar ntrols and would not remain a /as forwarded to Council's st	nderson Street could be as an isolated site. In rategic planning team for
	• On 24 March 2014, the applicant whether Council would consider to Road, the demolition of the existin building across the consolidated	the amalgamation of 3 Ander ng dwellings and the erection	son Street and 3 Raymond
	• Council staff determined that the at 3 Anderson Street, and underto 2014, Council's acting General Ma which was published in the Gover	ook a preliminary heritage as anager resolved to make an l	sessment, and on 28 March nterim Heritage Order (IHO),

Planning Proposal to li	t 'Lansdowne' at 3 Anderson St, Neutral Bay as an	item of local
heritage		

heritage	
	<ul> <li>The owners of 3 Anderson Street and 3 Raymond Road initiated three Land and Environment Court appeals against the IHO.</li> </ul>
	• On 26 May 2014, Council engaged Godden Mackay Logan to undertake an independent heritage assessment for 3 Anderson Street. The consultant noted that "although the property forms part of the Neutral Bay Land Company Estate, and had possible association with both prominent architects WL Vernon and RJH Joseland, neither could be firmly substantiated with primary sources. The property therefore could not be identified as a major architectural work". In response to this, on 24 June 2014, Council's General Manager resolved to revoke the IHO.
	• On 16 June 2014, the applicant lodged DA 192/14 which sought to amalgamate 3 Anderson Street with 3 Raymond Road, demolish the two existing dwellings and construct a stepped 4-storey residential flat building across both sites containing 13 apartments above basement parking. Council received a number of submissions in relation to this development application which raised concerns over the potential heritage significance of the dwelling at 3 Anderson Street.
	<ul> <li>On 12 September 2014, the applicant for DA 192/14 lodged a Class 1 appeal to the Land and Environment Court based on Council's deemed refusal of the development application. The appeal was dismissed by the Court on 12 May 2015.</li> </ul>
	• On 16 March 2015, Council resolved to write to the Minister for Heritage requesting that an IHO be placed on 3 Anderson Street as a result of further information coming to light, particularly from Clive Lucas, Stapleton and Partners. This additional information noted that 'Lansdowne' was potentially of local heritage significance and warranted further investigation in terms of association with significant figures and the intactness or otherwise of the residence.
	• The Minister for Heritage made an IHO for 3 Anderson Street on 21 May 2015, noting the information provided by Clive Lucas, Stapleton and Partners, and the findings of the report by Godden Mackay Logan. The Minister also required Council to obtain a heritage report supporting the view that 3 Anderson Street, Neutral Bay is of local heritage significance within 5 months of the IHO being issued, otherwise the IHO would be revoked.
	<ul> <li>In response to this, North Sydney Council engaged Clive Lucas, Stapleton and Partners to undertake an Assessment of Heritage Significance, dated 11 August 2015, which concluded that the 'Lansdowne' met the State Heritage Inventory criteria for listing a local heritage item.</li> </ul>
External Supporting Notes :	
Adequacy Assessme	ent
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
	objectives provided? <b>Yes</b>
Comment :	The statement of objectives provided is as follows: The primary purpose of this Planning Proposal is to identify 3 Anderson Street, Neutral Bay as a heritage item consistent with the outcomes of the heritage assessment undertaken by Clive Lucas, Stapleton and Partners.
Explanation of pro	visions provided - s55(2)(b)
ls an explanation of p	rovisions provided? Yes

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The explanation of provisions is as follows:

Insert a new item within Schedule 5; and

	• Include a new item on the Heritage Map.
Justification - s55 (2)(d	c)
a) Has Council's strategy b	been agreed to by the Director General? <b>No</b>
b) S.117 directions identifie	
* May need the Director Ge	-
	s agreement required? No
	d Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all State Environmental Planning Policies and Section 117 Ministerial Directions.
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	Mapping has been provided to LEP mapping standards showing both the existing and proposed controls for the site.
	However Council has provided other heritage map sheets applying to North Sydney, that are not relevant to this proposal. As such, prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal should be revised to remove superfluous map sheets, to avoid confusion.
Community consultati	on - s55(2)(e)
Has community consultation	on been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has proposed that community consultation be undertaken.
	It is noted that there has already been considerable community interest in the protection of the heritage values of this site.
	It is therefore considered that the proposal should be publicly exhibited for a period of at least 28 days.
Additional Director Ge	eneral's requirements
Are there any additional Di	irector General's requirements? <b>No</b>
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of th	ne proposal
Does the proposal meet th	e adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>
If No, comment :	Overall, the proposal is consistent with relevant strategic planning instruments, both at the State and Local level.
	Delegation of plan making functions is considered appropriate in this instance.

#### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

#### Due Date : August 2013

Comments in	North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 (NSLEP 2013) was notified on 2 August 2013.
relation to Principal	
LEP :	

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning	The planning proposal is required to provide for the heritage protection of the building
proposal :	known as 'Lansdowne', located at 3 Anderson Street, Neutral Bay.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is of a minor nature and does not contain any provisions which would contradict 'A Plan for Growing Sydney', the 'Draft Inner North Subregional Strategy', or the 'North Sydney Council Delivery Program'.
	The proposal is therefore considered to be consistent with Local, Regional and State strategic planning guidelines.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
	The planning proposal will not have any adverse impact on critical habitat, threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.
	SOCIAL IMPACTS
	During the assessment of DA 192/14 a number of residents raised concerns with the demolition of 'Lansdowne'. Additional information was also discovered to support a heritage listing.
	Council considers that listing the property as an item of local heritage significance would provide protection for the property and would address the concerns of the community.
	ASSESSMENT OF HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE PREPARED BY CLIVE LUCAS, STAPLETON AND PARTNERS COMMISSIONED BY NORTH SYDNEY COUNCIL
	Council engaged Clive Lucas, Stapleton and Partners to undertake an Assessment of Heritage Significance, which concluded that the 'Lansdowne' met the State Heritage Inventory criteria for listing a local heritage item. The property is significant on a local level as:
	<ul> <li>it is a large and substantially intact Federation period Queen Anne residence (albeit with good quality later alterations and additions);</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>it exhibits many of the key elements of the style and retains unique decorative features, most notably the dining room heraldic window that relates specifically to its first owner, the architect and valuer for AMP, Alexander Macqueen, for whom the house was built;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>it is historically associated with a number of prominent businessmen of the day including the aforementioned Alexander Macqueen;</li> </ul>
ж	<ul> <li>it is significant as being part one of a small group of surviving residences located within the Neutral Bay Land Company estate lands, a residential subdivision planned, designed and executed by noted architect W.L. Vernon.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>it continues to exhibit elements of Vernon's design approach for "healthy living"</li> </ul>

	-	el, as well as	display key characteristics	eutral Bay to Sydney Harbour of the Queen Anne style	
	The assessment acknowledged that the documented history and physical evidence of the house had not provided a complete understanding of the original / early configuration of the house. Despite this it concluded that none of the unresolved issues detracted from the historic and aesthetic significance of the place or from its rarity and representational significance on a local level.				
		In accordance with this, the heritage assessment recommended that the site be listed as an item of local heritage significance.			
	ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT OF HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE PREPARED BY PAUL DAVIES PTY LTD COMMISSIONED BY ADJOINING RESIDENTS				
	subject site, comm in support of the h	nissioned an eritage listin			
ssessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Fimeframe to make _EP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	Office of Environm	ent and Her	itage		
s Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes			
f no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>				
f Yes, reasons :					
dentify any additional	studies, if required. ;				
f Other, provide reaso	ns :				
dentify any internal co	nsultations, if required	:			

Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
1 - Cover Letter.pdf 2 - Council Report and Resolution.pdf 3 - Planning Proposal and Heritage Assessment.pdf 4 - Additional Heritage Assessment.pdf		Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Proposal Proposal	Yes Yes Yes Yes
nning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage:F	Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:			
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the plannin conditions:	ng proposal proceed subject to the fol	lowing
	1. Community consultation is requised follows:	uired under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of	the Act as
	(a) the planning proposal must b and	be made publicly available for a minim	um of 28 days;
	(b) the relevant planning authori public exhibition of planning that must be made publicly a	ty must comply with the notice require proposals and the specifications for n vailable along with planning proposals Preparing LEPs (Department of Plann	naterial as identified
	2. Consultation is required under division of the Office of Environ	section 56(2)(d) of the Act with the Her ment and Heritage.	itage
		rovided with a copy of the planning pr Iterial and given at least 21 days to co	
	body under section 56(2)(e) of t	I to be held into the matter by any pers he Act. This does not discharge Cour e to conduct a public hearing (for exa reclassifying land).	cil from any
	4. The timeframe for completing the the week following the date of t	he Local Environmental Plan is to be 9 he Gateway determination.	months from
Supporting Reasons		ed as it will formalise the heritage prot on Street, Neutral Bay, which is curre	
		tem of local heritage significance is in lish the building and construct a resid	
Signature:		L	
	G		/